#### **Research Article**

# Acute Ischemic Stroke and Metabolic Syndrome Comorbidity

#### Sefer Varol

Department of Neurology, Memorial Hospital, Diyarbakır, Turkey

#### Abstract

#### Aim

Cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) lead to serious disabilities and loss of labor in societyin addition to mortality. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a group of known metabolic risk factors such as high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, glucose intolerance, or high blood sugar, due to insulin resistance.

The present study aimed to investigate MetS and its components in acute ischemic stroke patients and analyze these components as risk factors.

### Material-Method

The study was conducted with 80 patients, 46 males and 34 females, who were admitted to the Taksim Training and Research Hospital Neurology Clinic with acute ischemic stroke diagnosis between April and October 2007 within the first 48 hours of the disease onset. The presence of MetS was investigated in 80 patients, including large artery atherosclerosis (BAA), small artery occlusion (CAO), and cardioembolic disease (CE).

#### Findings

MetS was determined in 48 patients out of 80. TheMetSpresence rate was 60%. The analysis of the MetS rates in disease groups revealed that the highest rate was in 30 of 39 BAA patients (76.90%). The mean MS criterion count was statistically significantly higher in theBAA group when compared to the CE and CAO groups. A statistically significant difference was determined between the abdominal obesity distributions in BAA, CE, KAO groups. A statistically significant difference was determined between the MS presence in the BAA, CE, CAO groups.

#### Conclusion

Certain modifiable stroke risk factors are included in a cluster of risk factors called MetS. Efforts to reduce theMetS parameters could be effective in stroke prevention.

Keywords: Cerebrovascular diseases (CVD), acute ischemic stroke, metabolic syndrome (MetS).

#### Introduction

Cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) lead to serious disabilities and loss of labor in society in addition to mortality. Thus, the determination of CVD risk factors has been the foundation of the studies the aimed to reduce CVD prevalence and incidence. Modifiable risk factors such as hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia, smoking heart disease. and definitely play a role in the etiology of CVD. Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a group of known metabolic risk factors such as high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, glucose intolerance, or high blood sugar, due to insulin resistance. Although epidemiological studies reported varaible rates based on the socio-cultural demographics, age, and gender, it is known that sedentary life and high-calorie diet increase the frequency and

severity of MetS. MetSwas reported to be a risk factor in the development of diabetes, coronary artery, and other atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases. European Heart Society (ESC) categorizedTurkeyamong the countries withhighvascular mortality risk. This is important for both the physicians and patients. It demonstrated the significance of MetSin Turkey. Recent studies investigated MetSand ischemic cerebrovascular diseasecomorbidity and reported an increase in prevalence. However, the studies conducted in Turkey are limited. The present study aimed to investigate MetS and its components in acute ischemic stroke patients and analyze these components as risk factors.

#### Material and Method

The study was conducted with 80 patients, 46 males and 34 females, who were admitted to the Taksim Training and Research Hospital Neurology Clinic with acute ischemic stroke diagnosis between April and October 2007 within the first 48 hours of the disease onset. The patients with a history of surgery or trauma within the last month, a known hepatic or hematological disease, under parenteral nutrition, and history of a hemorrhagic stroke were excluded from the study. Stroke was diagnosedbased on the WHO definition (Clinical findings that developed rapidly due to focal or global impairment of cerebral functions that lasted 24 hours or longer, or death.) Patient anamnesis was conducted to determine previous cerebrovascular incidents, systemic diseases (DM, HT, Heart disease), family history, smoking, alcohol consumption, and medications. Cranial CT scans were conducted on all patients within 24 hours. Then, systemic and neurological examinations were conducted.Blood pressure of patients was determined with a mercury manometer in an upright, sitting position, on the right arm, and after at least 5 minutes of rest. Waist circumference was measured with a tape measure between the lower rib edge and the iliac crest median. The biochemistry hemograms, routine results. hemostasis and lipid panels of the patients were examined. ECG and PA chest radiography were conducted on all patients. These were performed between the first day at the earliest and the third day at the latest. Blood samples were collected between 07:00 and 09:00 in the morning after for at 12 hours. fasting least Glucose. biochemistry, and lipid panels were studied in the central biochemistry laboratory.Transthoracic echocardiography, transesophageal echocardiography, carotid vertebral Doppler ultrasonography, cranial MRI, MR angiography, vasculitis tests, and coagulation tests were conducted when further examination was required for etiopathogenesis. Ischemic LVH was classified based on the TOAST for etiopathogenesis. The patients with an identified cause (vasculitis, dissection, blood diseases, etc.), those without an

identified cause, and those where more than one cause was determined were excluded from the study. The presence of MetS was determined in 80 patients: 39 had large artery atherosclerosis (22 men, 17 women), 27 had small artery occlusion (16 men, 11 women), and 14 had cardioembolic disease (8 men, 6 women). Patients were analyzed based on the MetS diagnostic criteria specified in the NCEP ATP-III: 1- BCS E > 102 cm, K>88 cm 2- TG  $\geq$  150 mg/dl 3- HDL – K E < 40 mg/dl, K < 50 mg/dl 4- Blood Pressure  $\geq$  130 /85 mmHg 5-FBG  $\geq$  110 mg/dl. Patients who met 3 or more of these 5 criteria were diagnosed withMetS.

## Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyzes were conducted on the NCSS 2007 software. In addition to descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation), one-way analysis of variance was employed to compare inter-group data, subgroups were compared with Tukey's multiple comparison, and the chi-square test was used to compare qualitative data. Significance interval was determined as p<0.05.

## Findings

80 patients who were admitted with acute ischemic stroke diagnosis at Taksim Training and Research Hospital Neurology Clinic between April and October 2007 were included in the study. Based on the TOAST classification, 39 patients (48.75%) were in the BAA group, 27 patients (33.75%) were in the CAO group, and 14 patients (17.50%) were in the CE group. Descriptive patient statistics are presented in Table 1. Patient groups and demographicsbased on the TOAST classification are presented in Table 2. The patient age, gender and disease groups are presented in Table 3. No statistically significant difference was determined between the mean patient age in the BAA, CE, KAO groups (p = 0.111). No statistically significant difference was determined between the patient gender in the BAA, CE, KAO groups (p = 0.973). The patients in various groups and related MetS criteria are presented in Table 2. The distribution of patients based on theMetS criteria count is presented in Table 5.

Sefer Varol et.al Acute Ischemic Stroke and Metabolic Syndrome Comorbidity

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
Age	43	86	64,76	11,31
Height	147	185	163,72	8,18
Weight	57	104	76,15	8,78
Waist circumference	86	115	99,98	7,47
BMI	18,61	36,88	28,47	3,35
Systolic P.	100	230	146,50	29,47
DiastolicP.	60	130	88,75	15,21
AKS	58	310	113,33	48,54
T. Cholesterol	122	267	184,64	39,58
Triglyceride	52	518	151,39	85,22
LDL – K	34	193	114,96	34,25
VLDL – K	12	92	29,93	13,61

## Table 1:Descriptive Statistics (n= 80)

Table – 2 TOAST categories and patient demographics

		BAA Group		C	E Group	CAO Group		
			n:39		n:14		n:27	
	No	20	51,30%	14	100,00%	24	88,90%	χ²:17,75
DM	Yes	19	48,70%	0	0,00%	3	11,10%	p=0,0001
	No	8	20,50%	7	50,00%	12	44,40%	χ²:6,09
HT	Yes	31	79,50%	7	50,00%	15	55,60%	p=0,048
	No	37	94,90%	8	57,10%	26	96,30%	χ²:17,01
AF	Yes	2	5,10%	6	42,90%	1	3,70%	p=0,0001
	No	26	66,70%	11	78,60%	23	85,20%	χ²:3,03
SVH (Ischemic)	Yes	13	33,30%	3	21,40%	4	14,80%	p=0,219
a	No	18	46,20%	5	35,70%	11	40,70%	χ²:0,511
Smoking	Yes	21	53,80%	9	64,30%	16	59,30%	p=0,775
	No	28	71,80%	8	57,10%	22	81,50%	χ²:2,75
Alcohol	Yes	11	28,20%	6	42,90%	5	18,50%	p=0,252
	No	7	17,90%	6	42,90%	15	55,60%	χ²:10,37
Antihypertensive	Yes	32	82,10%	8	57,10%	12	44,40%	p=0,006
	No	31	79,50%	14	100,00%	25	92,60%	χ²:4,93

International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Invention, vol. 11, Issue 04, April 2024

Sefer Varol et.al Acute Ischemic Stroke and Metabolic Syndrome Comorbidity

Oral Antidiabetic	Yes	8	20,50%	0	0,00%	2	7,40%	p=0,085
	No	32	82,10%	14	100,00%	27	100,00%	χ²:8,06
Insulin	Yes	7	17,90%	0	0,00%	0	0,00%	p=0,018
	No	18	46,20%	12	85,70%	18	66,70%	χ²:7,47
Anti Agregant	Yes	21	53,80%	2	14,30%	9	33,30%	p=0,024
	No	37	94,90%	9	64,30%	27	100,00%	χ²:15,97
Anti Coagulant	Yes	2	5,10%	5	35,70%	0	0,00%	p=0,0001

Table – 3 Groups based on patient age, gender and TOAST categories

		BAA Group n:39	CE Group n:14	CAO Group n:27		р
Age		67,21±11,6	64,71±10,34	61,26±10,82	F:2,28	0,11
	Male	22 (%56,4)	8 (%57,1)	16 (%59,3)		
Gender	Female	17 (%43,6)	6 (%42,9)	11 (%40,7)	χ²:0,05	0,973

 Table -4 :Comparison of the patientsbased on fasting blood glucose, triglyceride, VLDL and MetS

 criteria and Tukey multiple comparison test.

Tukey Multiple Comparison test	Fasting Blood Glycose	Triglyceri de	VLDL	MS Criter ia
BAA Group/CE Group	0,031	0,018	0,044	0,001
BAA Group/CAO Group	0,01	0,03	0,047	0,001
CE Group/CAO Group	0,987	0,781	0,801	0,998

 Table - 5
 :
 PatientDistribution Based onMetS Criteria(n=80)

	Normal	%	Pathologi	%
			с	
ABDOMINAL	24	30	56	70
OBESITY				

Sefer Varol et.al Acute Ischemic Stroke and Metabolic Syndrome Comorbidity

HIGH TRIGLYCERI DE	52	65	28	35
LOW HDL–K	23	28,8	57	71,3
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE	19	23,8	61	76,3
HIGH AKS	47	58,8	33	41,3

MetS was determined in 48 out of 80 patients and was not identified in 32 patients. TheMetS rate was 60% in the present study. Based on gender, 22 of 46 male patients (47.82%) and 26 of 34 female patients (76.47%) exhibited MetS, demonstrating that the MetS rate was significantly higher among females when compared to males.

Comparison of the patient groups based on fasting blood glucose, triglyceride, VLDL and MetS criteriacount is presented in Table based on Tukey comparison multiple test results. Mean triglyceride was statistically significantly higher in the BAA group when compared to the CE and CAO groups (p = 0.018, p = 0, 03), the mean VLDL was statistically significantly higher in the BAA group when compared to the CE and KAO groups (p=0.044, p=0.047), the mean MS criteriapositivity was statistically significantly higherin the BAA group when compared to the CE and CAO groups (p=0.001, p=0.001).

## Discussion

Stroke is an important disease that threatens public health and leads to premature death and functional failure. It is one of the leading health burdens (1). Stroke incidence could be reduced with the identification of risk factors and the employment of a multifactorial approach (2). MetS is a set of metabolic abnormalities and risk factors associated with high cardiovascular risks and Type 2 DM risk. Characteristics of this syndrome include atherogenic dyslipidemia, impaired glucose regulation and / or insulin resistance. endothelial dysfunction, proinflammatory and prothrombotic states, and elevated blood pressure.

Studies conducted with NCEP ATP-III criteria reported MetS prevalence figures between 7.9% and 43.6% in males and between 7% and 56.7% in females (3). K. W. Paek et al. conducted a study with 5742 adult Koreans based on the NCEP ATP III criteria and reported that MetS prevalence was 25.5% in males and 28.7% in females. Its correlation with MetSwas evident in young males and females with a family history of HT/Stroke or DM (4). In our study, we used the NCEP ATP-III criteria, most applicable in daily practice. In our analysis, we determined that 60% of the patients met at least 3 MetS criteria in the patient group of 80 individuals. S. Kurl, et al. reported that the relative risk of stroke adjusted for age and year of examination was 2 times higher in men with MetS [5].R. Kowawoto, H. et al. conducted a study with 197 stroke patients and 356 controls, and after the findings were adjusted for age, gender, and smoking, they reported a significant correlation between MetS and athero thrombotic stroke risk (OR: 3.08, 95% confidence interval, 1.69 – 5,61) (5). HS Milionis, et al. conducted a study with 163 stroke and 166 controls and reported that the MetS prevalence was 46.0% in the patient group and 15.7% in the control group (6). The  $3^{rd}$ National Health and Nutrition study reported that MetS was significantly correlated with selfreported MI and stroke. A study conducted with hypertensive patients without CVD reported that MetS was an independent marker for both CVD and LVH. Another study reported that those who developed ischemic stroke during follow-up were older, less educated, had abdominal obesity, high blood pressure, high FBG, and had more baseline MetS components (7). Koren Morag et al. analyzed more than 14000 coronary heart patients and reported a significant increase in ischemic stroke or TIA risk when MetS was present without DM. A 1.5-fold increase was reported in the risk in the presence of MetS alone and >2-fold in the presence of DM, demonstrating that the identification of MetS before DM would help the determination of high-risk patients for ischemic CVD. This risk is higher in women when compared to men (8.). A study conducted in 2003 analyzed MetS prevalence in CHD, LVH, peripheral artery disease or abdominal aortic a neury smpatients and reported that MetS prevalence was higher in women, and the waist circumference and HDL-C levelsof the women who met the criteria were significantly different. The difference in MetS prevalence based on gender was attributed to differences in BCS and HDL-K criteria (9).To determine the MetS prevalence in Turkish population, TEKHARF (heart disease and risk factors in Turkish adults) database was reviewed for the year 2000 based on NCEP ATP - III guidelines, and it was observed that MetS was identified in 37% of the population over the age of 30. The MetS prevalence of 60% among our ischemic stroke patients was higher prevalence than the MetS in Turkish population(10). N. Cansaran, Ş. et al. conducted a study with 100 acute LVH patients and 100 controlsto determine MetS prevalence. 76% of the patients was ischemic and 24% was hemorrhagic. The comparison of the age-adjusted patient and control groupsrevealed a significant difference in MetS prevalence. MetS was identified in 61.5% of the patients and 35.8% of the controls (11). The MetSprevalence reported in that study was consistent with our findings. In our study, pathologies were identified in the BCA analysis(87.2% in the BAA group, 64.3% in the CE group and 48.1% in the CAO group). Statistically significant differences were determined between the abdominal obesity rates in the BAA, CE and KAO groups (p = 0.003). In the present study, low HDL - K was observed in 87.20% of the BAA group, 64.30% of the CE group, and 51.90% of the CAO groupat pathological levels. 57 statistically significant differences were observed between the HDL-K levels in the BAA, CE, KAO groups (p=0.006). N. Cansaran, et al. determined that 55.8% of the female patients and 27.2% of the male patients met the positive critera in the analysis of HDL-C levels (11). In the present study, HDL - K level was 38.68  $\pm$  6.17 in the BAA group, 41.46  $\pm$  7.7

in the CE group, and  $41.21 \pm 7.15$  mg/dl in the CAO group. No statistically significant difference was determined between the mean HDL - K in the BAA, CE, KAO groups (p = 0.232).

MetS was present in 76.90% of the BAA group, 42.90% of the CE group, and 44.40% of the CAO group, and statistically significant differences were determined between the groups (p = 0.011).

In conclusion, Certain modifiable stroke risk factors are includedin MetS factors. Stroke risk increases with the increase in both MetS and individual MetScomponents. Efforts to reduce the MetScomponents could be effective in stroke prevention.

## References

- 1. Kumral E, Balkır K. İnme Epidemiyolojisi. Serebrovasküler hastalıklar. Balkan S.; Ankara, Güneş kitabevi, 2002,38-48.
- 2. Cerebrovascular Disorders. A clinical and research classification. WHO Ofset Publ. No:43 Ceneva, 1978.
- Cameron AJ, Shaw EJ, Zimmet PZ, et al. The Metabolic Syndrome : Prevalance in Worldvide Populations. Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am 2004;33:351 – 375.
- 4. Kyung Won Paek, Ki Hong Chun, Kwan Woo Lee. Relationship Between Metabolic Syndrome and Familial History of Hypertension/Stroke, Diabetes, and Cardiovascular Disease. J Korean Med Sci 2006; 21: 701-8
- Kurl S, Laukkanen JA, Niskanen L, et al. MetabolicSyndromeandthe Risk of Stroke in Middle – Aget Men. Stroke. 2006;37: 806 – 811.
- Milionis HS, Rizos E, Goudevenos J, Seferiadis K, Components of the Metabolic Syndrome and Risk for First Ever Acute İschemic Non embolic Stroke in Elderly Subjects Stroke. 2005 Jul; 36(7): 1372 – 6.
- Chen HJ, Bai CH, et al. İnfluence of Metabolic Syndrome and General Obesity on the Risk of İschemic Stroke. Stroke 2006;37:1-5.
- 8. Koren, Morag, et al. Relation Between the Metabolic Syndrome and İschemic Stroke

or Transied İschemic Attack. Stroke 2005;36:1366 – 1371.

- 9. Gorter PM, Oilijhoek JK, et al, Atherosclerosis 2004;173:363 369.
- Ayfer Gem almaz, Serpil Aydın, Okay Başak, Güzel Dişçigil, Aslıhan Karul. Prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome in a Rural Turkish Population: Comparison and Concordance of Two Diagnostic Criteria. Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences:

Vol.38:No.2,Article11.Availableat:https://j ournals.tubitak.gov.tr/medical/vol38/iss2/1

 Cansaran N, Öztürk Ş, Özbakır Ş. Akut strok hastalarında metebolik sendrom ve komponentlerinin incelenmesi. Türk Nöroloji dergisi 42. Ulusal Nöroloji Kongresi Kasım – Aralık 2006 Cilt : 12 Sayı: 5 Ek :4.